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Astrophysics (2D)

Presented results extend to $n$ D problems

## Overview

- Sparse Spikes Super-resolution
- Robust Support Recovery
- Asymptotic Positive Measure Recovery
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Minimum separation:

$$
\Delta=\min _{i \neq j}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|
$$

$\rightarrow$ Signal-dependent recovery criteria.
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Open problems: Exact support recovery? General kernels?
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Ideal low-pass filter:
$\rightarrow \eta=\Phi^{*} p$ trigonometric polynomial.
$\rightarrow$ Interpolates spikes location and sign.
$\rightarrow|\eta(t)|^{2}=1$ : polynomial equation of $\operatorname{supp}(m)$.
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Non-degenerate certificate: $\quad \eta \in \mathrm{ND}\left(m_{a, x}\right)$ :
$\Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall t \notin\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\},|\eta(t)|<1$ and $\forall i, \eta^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$
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the solution of $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(y)$ for $y=\Phi\left(m_{0}\right)+w$ is
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## Overview

- Sparse Spikes Super-resolution
- Robust Support Recovery
- Asymptotic Positive Measure Recovery


## Recovery of Positive Measures

Input measure: $m_{0}=m_{a, x} \quad$ where $\quad a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$.
Theorem: let $\Phi m=\left(\int e^{-2 \mathrm{i} \pi k t} \mathrm{~d} m(t)\right)_{k=-f_{c}}^{f_{c}} \quad$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \eta_{S}(t)=1-\rho \prod_{i=1}^{N} \sin \left(\pi\left(t-x_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \text { for } N \leqslant f_{c} \text { and } \rho \text { small enough, } \eta_{S} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}\left(m_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\longrightarrow m_{0}$ is recovered when there is no noise.
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## Recovery of Positive Measures

## Input measure: $m_{0}=m_{a, x} \quad$ where $\quad a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$.

Theorem: let $\Phi m=\left(\int e^{-2 \mathrm{i} \pi k t} \mathrm{~d} m(t)\right)_{k=-f_{c}}^{f_{c}} \quad$ and

$$
\eta_{S}(t)=1-\rho \prod_{i=1}^{N} \sin \left(\pi\left(t-x_{i}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

for $N \leqslant f_{c}$ and $\rho$ small enough, $\eta_{S} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}\left(m_{0}\right)$.
$\longrightarrow m_{0}$ is recovered when there is no noise.
$\longrightarrow$ behavior as $\forall i, x_{i} \rightarrow 0$ ?
[Morgenshtern, Candès, 2015] discrete $\ell^{1}$ robustness.
[Demanet, Nguyen, 2015] discrete $\ell^{0}$ robustness.
$\longrightarrow$ noise robustness of support recovery ?


## Comparison of Certificates



## Asymptotic of Vanishing Certificate

$m_{0}=m_{a, \Delta x} \quad$ where $\quad \Delta \rightarrow 0$
Vanishing Derivative pre-certificate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{V} \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \underset{\eta=\Phi^{*} p}{\operatorname{argmin}}\|p\| \\
& \text { s.t. } \forall i,\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta\left(\Delta x_{i}\right)=1, \\
\eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta x_{i}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$



## Asymptotic of Vanishing Certificate

$$
m_{0}=m_{a, \Delta x} \quad \text { where } \quad \Delta \rightarrow 0
$$

Vanishing Derivative pre-certificate:
$\eta_{V} \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \operatorname{argmin}\|p\|$

$$
\eta=\Phi^{*} p . \quad \forall i,\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta\left(\Delta x_{i}\right)=1 \\
\eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta x_{i}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$



## Asymptotic of Vanishing Certificate

$$
m_{0}=m_{a, \Delta x} \quad \text { where } \quad \Delta \rightarrow 0
$$

Vanishing Derivative pre-certificate:
$\eta_{V} \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \operatorname{argmin}\|p\|$

$$
\eta=\Phi^{*} p
$$

$$
\text { s.t. } \quad \forall i,\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta\left(\Delta x_{i}\right)=1, \\
\eta^{\prime}\left(\Delta x_{i}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$



$$
\Delta \rightarrow 0
$$

Asymptotic pre-certificate:
$\eta_{W} \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \operatorname{argmin}\|p\|$

s.t. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\eta(0)=1, \\ \eta^{\prime}(0)=\ldots=\eta^{(2 N-1)}(0)=0 .\end{array}\right.$


## Asymptotic Certificate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 N-1) \text {-Non degenerate: } \\
& \eta_{W} \in \mathrm{ND}_{N} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\forall t \neq 0,\left|\eta_{W}(t)\right|<1 \\
\eta_{W}(2 N) \\
\hline 0) \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$



## Asymptotic Certificate

( $2 N-1$ )-Non degenerate:
$\eta_{W} \in \mathrm{ND}_{N}$
$\Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\forall t \neq 0,\left|\eta_{W}(t)\right|<1 \\ \eta_{W}{ }^{(2 N)}(0) \neq 0\end{array}\right.$

## Lemma:

If $\eta_{W} \in \mathrm{ND}_{N}, \exists \Delta_{0}>0$,
$\forall \Delta<\Delta_{0}, \eta_{V} \in \operatorname{ND}\left(m_{\Delta x, a}\right)$
$\rightarrow \eta_{W}$ govern stability as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$.


## Asymptotic Robustness

Theorem: If $\eta_{W} \in \mathrm{ND}_{N}$, letting $m_{0}=m_{a, \Delta x}$, then for $\left(\frac{w}{\lambda}, \frac{w}{\Delta^{2 N-1}}, \frac{\lambda}{\Delta^{2 N-1}}\right)=O(1)$
the solution of $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(y)$ for $y=\Phi\left(m_{0}\right)+w$ is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}^{\star} \delta_{\Delta x_{i}^{\star}} \text { where }\left\|(x, a)-\left(x^{\star}, a^{\star}\right)\right\|=O\left(\frac{\|w\|+\lambda}{\Delta^{2 N-1}}\right)
$$
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## When is $\eta_{W}$ Non-degenerate?

Proposition: one has $\eta_{W}^{(2 N)}(0)<0 . \quad \longrightarrow$ "locally" non-degenerate.
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Proposition: one has $\eta_{W}^{(2 N)}(0)<0 . \quad \longrightarrow$ "locally" non-degenerate.


## Gaussian Deconvolution

Gaussian convolution: $\quad \varphi(x, t)=e^{-\frac{|x-t|^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}} \quad \Phi(m) \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \int \varphi(x, \cdot) \mathrm{d} m(x)$ Proposition: $\quad \eta_{W}(x)=e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 \sigma^{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{(x / 2 \sigma)^{2 k}}{k!}$

In particular, $\eta_{W}$ is non-degenerate.

$\longrightarrow$ Gaussian deconvolution is support-stable.




## Laplace Transform Inversion

Laplace transform: $\varphi(x, t)=e^{-x t} \quad \Phi(m) \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \int \varphi(x, \cdot) \mathrm{d} m(x) \quad$ [with E. Soubies]

$$
\varphi(x, \cdot) \quad \begin{aligned}
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Total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM) $\rightarrow$ multiple angles $\theta(t)$.
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## Laplace Transform Inversion

Laplace transform: $\varphi(x, t)=e^{-x t} \quad \Phi(m) \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \int \varphi(x, \cdot) \mathrm{d} m(x) \quad$ [with E. Soubies]

| $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ |
| ---: |
| $x=20$ |
|  |



Total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM) $\rightarrow$ multiple angles $\theta(t)$.


Non-translation-invariant operator $\rightarrow \eta_{W}$ depends on $\bar{x}$ !


## Conclusion
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## Conclusion

Deconvolution of measures:
$\longrightarrow L^{2}$ errors are not well-suited.
Weak-* convergence.
Optimal transport distance. Exact support estimation.
$\longrightarrow$ dictated by $\eta_{0}$.
Low-noise behavior: $\longrightarrow$ checkable via $\eta_{V}$.
$\longrightarrow$ asymptotic via $\eta_{W}$.
Lasso on discrete grids: similar $\eta_{0}$-analysis applies. $\longrightarrow$ Relate discrete and continuous recoveries.

Open problem: other regularizations (e.g. piecewise constant) ? see [Chambolle, Duval, Peyré, Poon 2016] for TV denoising.

