# Exact Support Recovery for Sparse Spikes Deconvolution

# Gabriel Peyré

## Joint work with Vincent Duval & Quentin Denoyelle







www.numerical-tours.com











Astrophysics (2D)

#### Overview

• Sparse Spikes Super-resolution

• Robust Support Recovery

• Asymptotic Positive Measure Recovery





Radon measure m on  $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ .

Discrete measure:

$$m_{a,x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \delta_{x_i}, \ a \in \mathbb{R}^N, x \in \mathbb{T}^N$$



Radon measure m on  $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ .

Discrete measure:

$$m_{a,x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \delta_{x_i}, \ a \in \mathbb{R}^N, x \in \mathbb{T}^N$$

Linear measurements:

$$y = \Phi(m) + w \qquad \varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$$
$$\Phi(m) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(x, \cdot) dm(x)$$



Radon measure m on  $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ .

Discrete measure:

$$m_{a,x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \delta_{x_i}, \ a \in \mathbb{R}^N, x \in \mathbb{T}^N$$

Linear measurements:

$$y = \Phi(m) + w \qquad \varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$$
$$\Phi(m) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(x, \cdot) dm(x)$$

Example: 1-D (d = 1) convolution  $\varphi(x, t) = \varphi(t - x)$ 







Radon measure m on  $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ .

Discrete measure:

$$m_{a,x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \delta_{x_i}, \ a \in \mathbb{R}^N, x \in \mathbb{T}^N$$

Linear measurements:

$$y = \Phi(m) + w \qquad \varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$$
$$\Phi(m) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(x, \cdot) \mathrm{d}m(x)$$

Example: 1-D 
$$(d = 1)$$
 convolution  
 $\varphi(x, t) = \varphi(t - x)$ 

Minimum separation:

$$\Delta = \min_{i \neq j} |x_i - x_j|$$
  

$$\rightarrow \text{ Signal-dependent recovery criteria}$$









Discrete  $\ell^1$  regularization:

Computation grid  $z = (z_k)_{k=1}^K$ .







Why  $\ell^1$ ? " $\ell^0$  ball"









sparse

convex

Grid-free regularization: total variation of measures:

 $|m|(\mathbb{T}) = \sup\left\{\int \eta \mathrm{d}m : \eta \in C(\mathbb{T}), \|\eta\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1\right\}$ 

Grid-free regularization: total variation of measures:  $|m|(\mathbb{T}) = \sup\left\{\int \eta \mathrm{d}m \ : \ \eta \in C(\mathbb{T}), \|\eta\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1\right\}$ 

$$dm(x) = f(x)dx$$

$$|m|(\mathbb{T}) = \int |f| = ||f||_{L^1}$$

Grid-free regularization: total variation of measures:  $|m|(\mathbb{T}) = \sup \left\{ \int \eta \mathrm{d}m \ : \ \eta \in C(\mathbb{T}), \|\eta\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1 \right\}$ 

$$dm(x) = f(x)dx$$

$$m_{a,x}$$

$$m_{a,x}$$

$$|m|(\mathbb{T}) = \int |f| = ||f||_{L^1}$$

$$|m_{a,x}|(\mathbb{T}) = ||a||_{\ell^1}$$













Competitors: Prony's methods (MUSIC, ESPRIT, FRI).





#### Overview

• Sparse Spikes Super-resolution

#### Robust Support Recovery

• Asymptotic Positive Measure Recovery



Low-pass filter  $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{\varphi}) = [-f_c, f_c].$ 

When is  $m_0$  solution of  $\mathcal{P}_0(\Phi m_0)$ ?





 $\min \{ |m|(\mathbb{T}); \Phi m = y \} \quad (\mathcal{P}_0(y))$ mLow-pass filter supp $(\hat{\varphi}) = [-f_c, f_c].$ When is  $m_0$  solution of  $\mathcal{P}_0(\Phi m_0)$ ?  $\Delta = 0.55/f_c$ Theorem: [Candès, Fernandez G.]  $\Delta > \frac{1.26}{f_c} \Rightarrow m_0 \text{ solves } \mathcal{P}_0(\Phi m_0).$  $\Delta = 0.45/f_c$  $\Delta = 0.3/f_c$ 

 $\Delta = 0.1/f_c$ 

$$\min_{m} \{|m|(\mathbb{T}) ; \Phi m = y\} \quad (\mathcal{P}_{0}(y))$$
Low-pass filter supp $(\hat{\varphi}) = [-f_{c}, f_{c}].$ 
When is  $m_{0}$  solution of  $\mathcal{P}_{0}(\Phi m_{0})$ ?
  
Theorem: [Candès, Fernandez G.]
$$\Delta > \frac{1.26}{f_{c}} \Rightarrow m_{0} \text{ solves } \mathcal{P}_{0}(\Phi m_{0}).$$
How close to  $m_{0}$ 
are solutions of  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(\Phi m_{0} + w)$ ?
  
How close to  $m_{0}$ 
 $\Delta = 0.3/f_{c}$ 
  
 $\Delta = 0.1/f_{c}$ 

$$\min_{m} \{ |m|(\mathbb{T}) ; \Phi m = y \} \quad (\mathcal{P}_{0}(y))$$
Low-pass filter supp $(\hat{\varphi}) = [-f_{c}, f_{c}].$ 
When is  $m_{0}$  solution of  $\mathcal{P}_{0}(\Phi m_{0})$ ?
  

$$\frac{Theorem: [Candès, Fernandez G.]}{\Delta > \frac{1.26}{f_{c}} \Rightarrow m_{0} \text{ solves } \mathcal{P}_{0}(\Phi m_{0}).$$
How close to  $m_{0}$ 
are solutions of  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(\Phi m_{0} + w)$ ?
  
Weighted  $L^{2}$  error:
$$\rightarrow [Candès, Fernandez-G. 2012]$$
Support localization:
$$\rightarrow [Fernandez-G.][de Castro 2012]$$



Open problems: Exact support recovery? General kernels?

#### From Primal to Dual

$$\min_{m} |m|(\mathbb{T}) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|\Phi m - y\|^2$$

#### From Primal to Dual

$$\begin{split} & \min_{m} |m|(\mathbb{T}) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|\Phi m - y\|^2 \end{split}$$
$$= & \min_{m} \left[ \sup_{\|\eta\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1} -\langle \eta, m \rangle + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|\Phi m - y\|^2 \right] \end{split}$$






Ideal low-pass filter:  $| \rightarrow \eta = \Phi^* p$  trigonometric polynomial.













Ideal low-pass filter:  $\begin{vmatrix} \rightarrow \eta = \Phi^* p \text{ trigonometric polynomial.} \\ \rightarrow \text{Interpolates spikes location and sign.} \end{vmatrix}$ 





 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Ideal low-pass filter:} \ \left| \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \eta = \Phi^* p \ \text{trigonometric polynomial.} \\ \rightarrow \ \text{Interpolates spikes location and sign.} \\ \rightarrow \ |\eta(t)|^2 = 1 \text{: polynomial equation of } \sup(m). \end{array} \right.$ 



$$\sum_{m} \frac{|m|(\mathbb{T}) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|\Phi m - y\|^2}{\|\Phi^* p\|_{\infty} \leq 1} \left( p, y \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \|p\|^2$$







 $p \in \mathcal{D}_0(y)$ 





Definition: for any 
$$m_0$$
 solution of  $\mathcal{P}_0(y)$ ,  
 $\eta_0 = \Phi^* p_0 = \underset{\eta = \Phi^* p \in \partial |m_0|(\mathbb{T})}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|p\|$ 



 $\eta_0$ 

Input measure:  $m_0 = m_{a,x}$ .



Input measure:  $m_0 = m_{a,x}$ .



Input measure:  $m_0 = m_{a,x}$ .  $\eta_0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \underset{\eta = \Phi^* p}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|p\| \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} \forall i, \ \eta(x_i) = \operatorname{sign}(a_i), \\ \|\eta\|_{\infty} \leq 1. \end{cases}$  $\eta_0$  $-\eta_V$  $\exists \eta_0 \iff m_0 \text{ solves } \mathcal{P}_0(\Phi m_0)$  $\eta_{V} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \underset{\eta = \Phi^{*}p}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|p\| \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} \forall i, \ \eta(x_{i}) = \operatorname{sign}(a_{i}), \\ \forall i, \ \eta'(x_{i}) = 0. \end{cases}$  $\eta_0 = \eta_V$ Proposition:  $\eta_V = \Phi^* A_x^+(\operatorname{sign}(a); 0)$ where  $A_x(b) = \sum_i b_i^1 \varphi(x_i, \cdot) + b_i^2 \varphi'(x_i, \cdot)$ 



Non-degenerate certificate: 
$$\eta \in ND(m_{a,x})$$
:  
 $\iff \forall t \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}, |\eta(t)| < 1 \text{ and } \forall i, \eta''(x_i) \neq 0$ 



Non-degenerate certificate:  $\eta \in ND(m_{a,x})$ :  $\iff \forall t \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}, |\eta(t)| < 1 \text{ and } \forall i, \eta''(x_i) \neq 0$ 

Theorem:  $\eta_V \in ND(m_0) \implies \eta_V = \eta_0$ 

## **Support Stability Theorem**

$$\eta_{\lambda} = \Phi^* p_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\lambda \to 0} \eta_0 = \Phi^* p_0$$

 $\operatorname{supp}(m_{\lambda}) \subset \{|\eta_{\lambda}| = 1\}$ 

# **Support Stability Theorem**

$$\eta_{\lambda} = \Phi^* p_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\lambda \to 0} \eta_0 = \Phi^* p_0$$

$$supp(m_{\lambda}) \subset \{ |\eta_{\lambda}| = 1 \}$$

$$f \eta_0 \in ND(m_0) \text{ then } supp(m_{\lambda}) \to supp(m_0)$$

# **Support Stability Theorem**





#### Overview

• Sparse Spikes Super-resolution

• Robust Support Recovery

#### Asymptotic Positive Measure Recovery

## **Recovery of Positive Measures**



 $\rightarrow m_0$  is recovered when there is no noise.





de Castro et al.

2011

## **Recovery of Positive Measures**

Input measure:  $m_0 = m_{a,x}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{R}^N_+$ . Theorem: let  $\Phi m = (\int e^{-2i\pi kt} dm(t))_{k=-f_c}^{f_c}$ and  $\eta_S(t) = 1 - \rho \prod_{i=1}^N \sin(\pi(t - x_i))^2$ for  $N \leq f_c$  and  $\rho$  small enough,  $\eta_S \in \mathcal{D}(m_0)$ .  $\rightarrow m_0$  is recovered when there is no noise.  $\longrightarrow$  behavior as  $\forall i, x_i \rightarrow 0$ ? [Morgenshtern, Candès, 2015] discrete  $\ell^1$  robustness. [Demanet, Nguyen, 2015] discrete  $\ell^0$  robustness.





de Castro et

al

2011

## **Recovery of Positive Measures**

Input measure:  $m_0 = m_{a,x}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{R}^N_+$ . Theorem: let  $\Phi m = (\int e^{-2i\pi kt} dm(t))_{k=-f_c}^{f_c}$ and  $\eta_S(t) = 1 - \rho \prod_{i=1}^N \sin(\pi(t - x_i))^2$ for  $N \leq f_c$  and  $\rho$  small enough,  $\eta_S \in \mathcal{D}(m_0)$ .  $\rightarrow m_0$  is recovered when there is no noise.  $\longrightarrow$  behavior as  $\forall i, x_i \rightarrow 0$ ? [Morgenshtern, Candès, 2015] discrete  $\ell^1$  robustness. [Demanet, Nguyen, 2015] discrete  $\ell^0$  robustness.  $\rightarrow$  noise robustness of support recovery ?





[de

Castro et

al

2011

## **Comparison of Certificates**



## **Asymptotic of Vanishing Certificate**

$$m_0 = m_{a,\Delta x}$$
 where  $\Delta \to 0$ 

Vanishing Derivative pre-certificate:  

$$\eta_V \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname{argmin}_{\eta = \Phi^* p} \|p\|$$
  
s.t.  $\forall i, \begin{cases} \eta(\Delta x_i) = 1, \\ \eta'(\Delta x_i) = 0. \end{cases}$ 



## **Asymptotic of Vanishing Certificate**

$$m_0 = m_{a,\Delta x}$$
 where  $\Delta \to 0$ 

Vanishing Derivative pre-certificate:  

$$\eta_V \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname{argmin} \|p\|_{\eta = \Phi^* p}$$
  
s.t.  $\forall i, \begin{cases} \eta(\Delta x_i) = 1, \\ \eta'(\Delta x_i) = 0. \end{cases}$ 



## **Asymptotic of Vanishing Certificate**



## **Asymptotic Certificate**

$$(2N - 1) \text{-Non degenerate:}$$
$$\eta_{W} \in \text{ND}_{N}$$
$$\iff \begin{cases} \forall t \neq 0, |\eta_{W}(t)| < 1\\ \eta_{W}^{(2N)}(0) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$



## **Asymptotic Certificate**



## **Asymptotic Robustness**

Theorem: If 
$$\eta_W \in \mathrm{ND}_N$$
, letting  $m_0 = m_{a,\Delta x}$ , then  
for  $\left(\frac{w}{\lambda}, \frac{w}{\Delta^{2N-1}}, \frac{\lambda}{\Delta^{2N-1}}\right) = O(1)$   
the solution of  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(y)$  for  $y = \Phi(m_0) + w$  is  
 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^{\star} \delta_{\Delta x_i^{\star}}$  where  $\|(x, a) - (x^{\star}, a^{\star})\| = O\left(\frac{\|w\| + \lambda}{\Delta^{2N-1}}\right)$ 



# **Asymptotic Robustness**

Theorem: If 
$$\eta_W \in \mathrm{ND}_N$$
, letting  $m_0 = m_{a,\Delta x}$ , then  
for  $\left(\frac{w}{\lambda}, \frac{w}{\Delta^{2N-1}}, \frac{\lambda}{\Delta^{2N-1}}\right) = O(1)$   
the solution of  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(y)$  for  $y = \Phi(m_0) + w$  is  
 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^* \delta_{\Delta x_i^*}$  where  $\|(x, a) - (x^*, a^*)\| = O\left(\frac{\|w\| + \lambda}{\Delta^{2N-1}}\right)$   
 $y = \Phi m_{a,\Delta x} + w$  [Noise:  $w = \lambda w_0$ .  
Regularization:  $\lambda = \lambda_0 \Delta^{\alpha}$   
 $x_i^* \qquad \lambda_{\max} \qquad x_i^* \qquad \alpha < 2N-1$   $\lambda_0$   $\lambda_0$
### When is $\eta_W$ Non-degenerate ?

Proposition: one has  $\eta_W^{(2N)}(0) < 0$ .  $\longrightarrow$  "locally" non-degenerate.

#### When is $\eta_W$ Non-degenerate ?

Proposition: one has  $\eta_W^{(2N)}(0) < 0$ .  $\longrightarrow$  "locally" non-degenerate.



### **Gaussian Deconvolution**

Gaussian convolution: 
$$\varphi(x,t) = e^{-\frac{|x-t|^2}{2\sigma^2}} \Phi(m) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \int \varphi(x,\cdot) dm(x)$$
  
Proposition:  $\eta_W(x) = e^{-\frac{x^2}{4\sigma^2}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{(x/2\sigma)^{2k}}{k!}$   
In particular,  $\eta_W$  is non-degenerate.

 $\longrightarrow$  Gaussian deconvolution is support-stable.



Laplace transform:  $\varphi(x,t) = e^{-xt} \quad \Phi(m) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def.}}{=} \int \varphi(x,\cdot) dm(x) \quad \text{[with E. Soubies]}$ 







Total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM)  $\rightarrow$  multiple angles  $\theta(t)$ .







Deconvolution of measures:

 $\longrightarrow L^2$  errors are not well-suited.

Weak-\* convergence. Optimal transport distance. Exact support estimation.



Deconvolution of measures:

 $\longrightarrow L^2$  errors are not well-suited.

Weak-\* convergence. Optimal transport distance. Exact support estimation.



Low-noise behavior:

 $\longrightarrow$  dictated by  $\overline{\eta_0}$ .

 $r: \longrightarrow \text{checkable via } \eta_V.$  $\longrightarrow \text{asymptotic via } \eta_W.$ 

Deconvolution of measures:

 $\longrightarrow L^2$  errors are not well-suited.

Weak-\* convergence. Optimal transport distance.

Exact support estimation.



 $\longrightarrow$  dictated by  $\overline{\eta_0}$ .

Low-noise behavior:  $\longrightarrow$  checkable via  $\eta_V$ .

 $\rightarrow$  asymptotic via  $\eta_W$ .

Lasso on discrete grids: similar  $\eta_0$ -analysis applies.  $\longrightarrow$  Relate discrete and continuous recoveries.

Deconvolution of measures:

 $\longrightarrow L^2$  errors are not well-suited.

Weak-\* convergence. Optimal transport distance.

Exact support estimation.



 $\longrightarrow$  dictated by  $\overline{\eta_0}$ .

Low-noise behavior:  $\longrightarrow$  checkable via  $\eta_V$ .

 $\rightarrow$  asymptotic via  $\eta_W$ .

Lasso on discrete grids: similar  $\eta_0$ -analysis applies.

 $\longrightarrow$  Relate discrete and continuous recoveries.

Open problem: other regularizations (e.g. piecewise constant) ? see [Chambolle, Duval, Peyré, Poon 2016] for TV denoising.